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BRIAN H. GREENSPAN AND JUSTICE VINCENZO RONDINELLI

Much like doting parents whose progeny far exceed their fondest expectations, the success and broad appeal of this series of practical and insightful practitioner guides has become the source of enormous pride to its publisher and to its contributors. The guiding principle of the series was that the criminal bar be effectively equipped to provide balanced and comprehensive responses, in preparation for both trials and appeals and to address unanticipated issues as they emerge in court. This practical practice-oriented approach has ensured that, whether Crown or defence, the criminal lawyer’s toolbox is filled with a reliable and well-researched resource so essential to persuasive and credible advocacy.

The recognition which the series has received as recipient of the Hugh Lawford Award for excellence in legal publishing is a tribute to Emond’s commitment to quality while providing readable and attractive publications. Jonathan Rudin’s groundbreaking treatise on “Indigenous People and Criminal Justice,” awarded the Walter Owen Book Prize by the Canadian Foundation for Legal Research, has truly elevated the contribution of the series to the advancement of the practice of criminal law.

The authors and editors look forward to the continued expansion and improvement of the project to include practice issues not yet considered, to the technological advances, and to the insurance that the guides remain current and responsive to legislative and urisprudential change.

“The success and broad appeal of this series of practical and insightful practitioner guides has become the source of enormous pride to its publisher and to its contributors.”
Prosecuting and Defending
YOUTH CRIMINAL
JUSTICE CASES
Second Edition

The second edition will provide invaluable assistance to those who are involved in a Youth Criminal Justice Act matter for the first time and those who have extensive experience. It covers such essential issues as judicial interim release and sentencing, as well as the arrest, detention, and questioning of young persons. Chapter 10 (Sentencing) contains an extensive review of a difficult issue which commonly arises in Youth Court: 'Mental Health, Learning, Brain Injury, and Developmental Issues.' In addition, the second edition considers the important issues of the privacy rights of young persons and publication bans, as well as the difficult issue of access to youth records. In a timely addition, it includes an appendix considering the impact upon young people of the newly enacted Cannabis Act.

—The Honorable Wayne Gorman,
Provincial Court of Newfoundland and Labrador

Shop online at u.emond.ca/cls-pb01
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Sample and Features

Key Insight: Children and a “Propensity to Lie”

What if a child is known to regularly lie? How does this affect the credibility of his or her courtroom evidence?

In R v Levert, the complainant was a child who alleged he was sexually abused by the accused, who was a friend of his foster mother. In cross-examination, the complainant’s foster mother gave evidence about the complainant’s propensity to lie. Defence counsel argued that this was a crucial piece of evidence that undermined the credibility of the complainant. The Court of Appeal disagreed, stating that the “fact that the complainant, like most children, was capable of lying about trivial matters was of little assistance to the jury in their task of deciding whether he was lying in court under oath about these serious allegations.”

Adapted from Prosecuting and Defending Youth Criminal Justice Cases, 2nd Edition, Page 187
Reviews and Testimonials

Excerpt from Review of Prosecuting and Defending Youth Criminal Justice Cases

By Daniel Goldbloom
For The Defence, (March 2017) Vol. 37 No. 4, The Criminal Lawyers’ Association Newsletter

The text guides practitioners through the particular challenges that arise in representing young people, such as the duty to report child protection concerns with respect to one’s own client, how to deal with a client’s parents who have a special role under the YCJA, and even how to interview a young person. In the context of sentencing, the book explains how to best address systemic racism, the impact of child welfare services, and the effects of fetal alcohol spectrum disorder, among other issues. The authors also include checklists, precedents, suggested interview questions, references to relevant police policies and provincial child protection legislation, and comparisons of YCJA and Criminal Code provisions that will prove invaluable to defence counsel. For each stage of a YCJA proceeding, the authors detail specific practice considerations for Crown and defence counsel alike. For the defence, these provide effective potential arguments and prompts for information gathering from the client. For the Crown, they involve guidance on important ethical considerations and exercises of prosecutorial discretion. Both are useful to defence counsel in persuading the Crown of a particular course of action.

Excerpt from Book Review: Prosecuting and Defending Youth Criminal Justice Cases

By Heather Wylie, Law Librarian, Alberta Law Libraries
Excerpt from CLLR, Volume 42.1 (2017)

The book comes close to a step-by-step guide to procedure with straightforward answers to practical questions; also, wherever possible, information is presented in the form of checklists and tables. For example, the chapter on bail hearings includes advice on what happens next when parents do not or will not attend bail hearings. It sets out the qualities of a good (in other words, successful) plan of release. Finally, it discusses what makes a good, responsible person, or surety, and provides a checklist of questions to put to that person at the hearing.

Criminal Appeals: A Practitioner’s Handbook

By Mark C. Halfyard, Michael Dineen, and Jonathan Dawe
Page Count: 286
Publication Date: December 2016
Print: $115 • Digital: $104

It can be daunting to represent a criminal client on appeal in what Justice Doherty described as “the detached, rarefied climate of the appeal court.” It can be scary to have to produce the high quality, written advocacy expected in appellate courts, to persuade both with narrative and with legal argument.

That’s where this incredibly helpful handbook comes in.

It is written by very experienced and winning appellate lawyers who share their knowledge, insights, and tips with their readers. This guide provides lawyers with everything they need to know about how to conduct an appeal. It combines the law and rules that relate to criminal appeals with excellent strategic advice about appellate advocacy. If you argue appeals, or want to, you will find Criminal Appeals: A Practitioner’s Handbook to be an unparalleled resource at every stage of the appellate process and in every appellate court.”

—Jill R. Presser, Presser Barristers

ORDER TODAY AT U.EMOND.CA/CLS-PB02
# Table of Contents

1. **The Nature of an Appeal and Statutory Jurisdiction**
   - **Key Topics:** The Nature of a Criminal Appeal; Statutory Rights of Appeal; Third-Party Appeal Rights
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11. **Appeals to the Supreme Court of Canada**
    - **Key Topics:** Supreme Court of Canada Procedure; Bail Pending a Leave Application or Appeal
C. Supreme Court of Canada Appeals

As discussed in Chapter 11, appeals to the Supreme Court of Canada, even though the Criminal Code provides no further rights of appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada in summary conviction matters, the court has held that it has jurisdiction to hear such appeals under section 40 of the Supreme Court Act. Appeals under section 40 require leave of the court, which is generally only granted in cases that raise novel legal issues of “national importance” (see Chapter 11).

III. Extraordinary Remedies and Appeals from Judicial Review Decisions

A. Historical Origins

The superior courts also have broad inherent supervisory jurisdiction over “inferior” tribunals and government officials, including provincial court judges and justices. This supervisory jurisdiction has deep historical roots in English common law. The so-called prerogative writs originated as written orders by the monarch to his or her subjects, but over time they evolved into judicial orders issued by the monarch’s courts—the “Court of King’s Bench” or “Queen’s Bench”—to direct the conduct of other government officials and tribunals. The Canadian superior courts have inherited this power, and the orders they make when they exercise this supervisory authority are still commonly referred to by their traditional names. The four most common such orders in criminal cases are:

- certiorari, which directs the lower court to send its record in a case to the higher court for review—if the higher court sees fit, it may quash any orders made by the lower court and remit the case to the lower court for further proceedings;
- mandamus, which directs the lower court or government official to perform some duty or act;
- prohibition, which directs the lower court or government official to refrain from acting; and
- habeas corpus, which requires that a prisoner be brought before the court so that the court can assess the legality of his or her detention.

Key Insights: Dangerous and Long-Term Offender Appeals

Dangerous and long-term offender designations are part of the sentencing process, but appeals from these designations are governed by a special statutory provision, Criminal Code section 759. Appeals under section 759 differ from ordinary sentence appeals under sections 675(1)(b) and 687 in a number of respects. The statutory terms contain some significant differences. In *R v Currie*, the Supreme Court of Canada held that in view of the “broad language” of section 759, dangerous offender appeals should be decided based on a “standard of reasonableness” rather than under the more deferential standard applicable in ordinary sentence appeals, although Lamer CJ also cautioned that “s. 759 cannot be interpreted as calling for the equivalent of a trial denovo on the dangerous offender application” and that “[s]ome deference to the findings of a trial judge is warranted.” In addition, section 759 expressly permits an appellate court to order a new hearing in the trial court, a remedial option that is not available in ordinary sentence appeals governed by section 687: see *R v Sipos*. The court has also read in the power to dismiss dangerous offender appeals despite the commission of a legal error by the sentencing judge; the equivalent of the curative proviso found in section 686(1)(b)(iii).

Features:

- Practical advice on appeals.
- Concrete guidance on drafting an appeal factum.
- Model appeal factums and motions of appeal.
- Oral argument strategies.

Read a sample chapter at u.emond.ca/cls-pb02

Adapted from Criminal Appeals: A Practitioner’s Handbook, Pages 98 and 99
Reviews and Testimonials

Excerpt from Testimonial of Criminal Appeals: A Practitioner’s Handbook
By Richard C.C. Peck, QC, Peck and Company

Both [Nancy L. Dennison and Seth Weinstein] are highly experienced and well-regarded practitioners in the field. They have now performed the invaluable service of bringing the perspectives of counsel for the person sought for extradition and counsel for the attorney general of Canada/minister of justice together in one place. This book offers a great deal not only to the neophyte but also to those with experience in the area. The use of charts and sample documents helps explain many of the intricacies of extradition law and procedure. The clear, practical guidance the book offers for dealing with an extradition file from beginning to end is especially useful for practitioners. At the same time, the discussions are thoroughly grounded in the jurisprudence, richly sourced, and doctrinally sophisticated.

This book will quickly become an essential reference for legal professionals involved in the conduct of extradition matters.

— John Norris, Barrister, Simcoe Chambers

Excerpt from Review of Criminal Appeals: A Practitioner’s Handbook
By Amy Kaufman, Head Law Librarian, Queen’s University

Although the book moves quickly, it gives clear explanations and often employs the helpful strategy of stating a complex procedural rule [and] then giving an example. The authors go beyond explaining important steps and concepts to imparting valuable advice throughout. For example, they observe that lawyers new to criminal appellate work might want to start with sentence appeals, as they “tend to have shorter records, oral argument is brief, and the types of arguments made more closely resemble advocacy in criminal courts” (p. 94).

[This] is a succinct, well-written, well-organized guidebook for appellate work in criminal cases. It delivers on its promise of being a practitioner’s handbook in both content and design.
# What is Extradition?

**Key Topics:** Reciprocity; Comity; Double Criminality; The Authority to Proceed; The Committal Phase

# How Extradition Proceedings Commence

**Key Topics:** Provisional Arrest Requests; Straight Arrest Requests

# Bail

**Key Topics:** Grounds for Detention; Release Plans; Bail Reviews

# Options on How to Proceed

**Key Topics:** Retaining Counsel in the Foreign Jurisdiction; Waiver of Extradition; Consent to Committal

# Public Funding of Counsel at the Committal Hearing

**Key Topics:** Legal Aid; Rowbotham Applications

# Related Proceedings

**Key Topics:** Immigration Proceedings; Domestic Charges

# The Authority to Proceed

**Key Topics:** Relevant Date for Determining Whether Conduct Is Criminal; Challenges to the Authority to Proceed

# Disclosure

**Key Topics:** Requests for Disclosure; Test for Disclosure Where Alleging a Charter Breach

# Charter Challenges at Extradition Hearings

**Key Topics:** Applicable Charter Provisions at Extradition Hearing

# The Committal Hearing: An Overview

**Key Topics:** Test for Committal; Fitness Hearings; Test for Committal for Imposition and Enforcement of Sentence

# Proving and Fighting Committal: Evidentiary Issues

**Key Topics:** Evidence at the Committal Hearing

# Identification

**Key Topics:** Proving That the Person Before the Court Is the Person Sought; Identification That the Person Sought Engaged in the Alleged Conduct

# Post-Committal Procedures

**Key Topics:** Test for Bail Following Committal; Funding of Counsel

# Submissions to the Minister and Minister’s Surrender Decision

**Key Topics:** Powers of the Minister; Reasons for Refusal

# Appeal from Committal

**Key Topics:** Leave to Appeal; Standard of Review; Fresh Evidence

# Application for Judicial Review

**Key Topics:** Perfecting the Application for Judicial Review

# What Happens if the Surrender Order is Upheld

**Key Topics:** Filing the Application for Leave to Appeal
The authority to proceed is the document that shapes the focus of the extradition hearing. It sets out the Canadian offence or offences identified by the minister’s delegate corresponding to the conduct described in the extradition request. The 1999 Extradition Act introduced the authority to proceed. This took away the necessity of the extradition judge to look at or consider the foreign offence in determining whether there was sufficient evidence to justify committal. When an extradition request is received, counsel for the minister of justice at the International Assistance Group (IAG) reviews the extradition request and determines whether the treaty requirements and section 3(1) of the Act are satisfied. Counsel for the minister must consider the law of the foreign state to be satisfied that the alleged conduct described in the request is criminal and that the associated penalty meets the requirements of section 3(1) of the Act. Counsel for the IAG also identifies the Canadian offence or offences that correspond to the conduct in the request. The authority to proceed is signed by counsel from the IAG, not the minister of justice, as is the case with a surrender order. Courts have held that there is nothing improper in the minister delegating his or her authority to counsel for the IAG to issue the authority to proceed. If the authority to proceed is not signed, that is a fatal flaw and there would be no basis to hold an extradition hearing.

Adapted from Prosecuting and Defending Extradition Cases: A Practitioner’s Handbook, Page 146

Read a sample chapter at u.emond.ca/cls-pb03
Excerpt from Testimonial of *Prosecuting and Defending Extradition Cases*

By Richard Kramer, General Counsel, Department of Justice

“The book includes useful documentary precedents, references and leading extradition jurisprudence across Canada and is organized to follow the multiple-step process of an extradition proceeding.”

*Prosecuting and Defending Extradition Cases* is a top-notch legal resource that is long overdue. Extradition is a specialized area of law that is both nuanced and particular, yet one that few practitioners have the opportunity to learn in-depth before they find themselves in it. Even seasoned criminal law practitioners and the most experienced jurists face an enormous learning curve when approaching this area of law for the first time. The authors have created an incredibly practical guide. The book includes useful documentary precedents, references and leading extradition jurisprudence across Canada and is organized to follow the multiple-step process of an extradition proceeding. These features make it incredibly useful to those who litigate and preside over extradition cases. That the book is presented from both the Crown and defence perspectives only underscores its value. It is a balanced, clearly executed, and thorough guide that will undoubtedly become the “go-to” resource for anyone who deals with extradition in Canada.
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by those principles in balancing the accused’s rights to a fair trial, the complainant’s rights and interests, and the public interest in having relevant evidence admitted.14

While a complainant now has a statutory right to participate in hearings under section 278.94 to determine the admissibility of defence-led other sexual activity evidence, there is no corresponding right of participation relating to a voir dire to determine the admissibility of Crown-led other sexual activity evidence. However, there may be exceptional cases where fairness dictates that the complainant be given an opportunity to address the court on such a voir dire.15 Defence counsel may consider opposing the expansion of participatory rights for complainants beyond that which the Criminal Code explicitly allows under section 278.94.

B. What is “Sexual Activity”? 

Section 276 applies to non-consensual activity as well as consensual sexual activity both with the accused and with others.16 Prior to the SCC decision in R v Darrach, there was disagreement about whether section 276 applied to non-consensual activity as well as consensual activity. The guidelines set out in Seaboyer applied only to consensual sexual activity, and some courts ruled that this limitation should carry over to section 276 as well. In Darrach, the debate was settled with a firm statement that section 276 applies to all sexual activity, whether consensual or not. Furthermore, it applies to situations where the victim is an alleged perpetrator of a sexual offence, as well as when he or she is an alleged victim.17

The only definition of “sexual activity” in the Criminal Code is found in section 276(4) where it is now made explicit that sexual communications are included. Prior to this, the law was contradictory on this point.18

In addition to section 276(4), the case law can provide some guidance on what is and is not sexual activity. The following are examples of behaviour that courts have held to be sexual activity:

- soliciting a prostitute (R v Drake),19
- organizing a sex-toy party (R v McDonald).20

Key Insight: Evidence of good character of the accused has limited value in cases of sexual assault

In the context of a sexual assault allegation, courts have held that evidence of good character has limited value. This is because sexual assaults typically occur in private, so they are not reflected in a person’s reputation in the community.

Where the accused leads evidence of good character in a sexual assault trial, the judge should give the jury an instruction that the propensity value of character evidence may be diminished in sexual assault cases. The value of good character evidence may still be generally relevant in the context of credibility; however, where the central issue is whether or not the sexual offence occurred, credibility may not be easily separated from propensity. A diminished value instruction pertaining to good character will not be appropriate in circumstances where it can be demonstrated that the alleged sexual misconduct occurred in public places and was visible to many.

Features:

- Contributions from Cecilia Hageman, Meaghan Cunningham, Dawne Way, Adam Weisberg, and Colleen McKeown.
- Extensive updates pertaining to new legislation, including the Bill C-51 and Bill C-75 amendments to the Criminal Code.
- Discussion of the new 278.92 regime that governs the use of records in sexual offence cases.
- Key commentary, from both Crown and defence, on advocacy and trial strategy.

Adapted from Prosecuting and Defending Sexual Offence Cases, 2nd Edition, Page 220

Read a sample chapter at u.emond.ca/cls-pb04
Excerpt from Review of Prosecuting and Defending Sexual Offence Cases: A Practitioner’s Handbook

By Brock Jones, BA, MA, JD
Excerpt from For The Defence, Vol. 38, No. 5

The reader is provided with concrete suggestions on how to handle questioning of expert witnesses in a variety of areas and explanations of the most common hypothetical scenarios that could reasonably be thought to apply in any given case. It is, quite frankly, the best material for lawyers I have ever read on the subject matter.

Excerpt from Book Review- Prosecuting and Defending Sexual Offence Cases: A Practitioner’s Handbook

By Kasia Kieloch
Excerpt from Robson Crim Legal Blog

Daniel Brown and Jill Witkin’s Prosecuting and Defending Sexual Offence Cases: A Practitioner’s Handbook provides a detailed overview of all aspects of sexual assault cases through prosecutor and defence perspectives. Daniel Brown is lead counsel at Daniel Brown Law and practices criminal, constitutional, and regulatory law. He has appeared before all levels of court in Ontario as well as the Supreme Court of Canada. Jill Witkin is a Crown Attorney in Ontario. She does trial and appellate work on cases relating to sexual assault and domestic and child abuse. Brian H. Greenspan and Justice Vincenzo Rondinelli are amongst the foremost criminal law experts in Canada and are editors of this book series. The authors and editorial team have extensive criminal law experience related to sexual offence cases.

The handbook brings together the topics of criminal law, evidence, criminal procedure, and the Charter to walk through all aspects of sexual offence cases from the first contact with prosecution and defence counsel to sentencing. It leaves readers with a complete understanding of how the various pieces of the criminal law system fit in with and apply to sexual offence cases. By comparing and contrasting the roles and tasks of the prosecution and defence, the work provides for a more holistic understanding of how sexual offence cases are to be handled.

Digital Evidence, 2nd Edition
By Gerald Chan and Susan Magotiaux
ISBN: 978-1-77255-676-6
Page Count: 334
Publication Date: December 2021
Print: $129 • Digital: $116

As Susan Magotiaux and Gerald Chan write in Chapter 1, Reasonable Expectation of Privacy in Digital Data, “If there is one clear conclusion to draw from the varied case law on reasonable expectations of privacy in a digital era, it is that there are no clear fixed lines.” The same could be true of many other areas explored in this book.

This book is an invaluable guide to assist litigators in this task. It covers major substantive topics in digital evidence, from the search of digital devices to accessing digital data; delves into procedural issues that arise for practitioners, such as rules of disclosure applicable to digital data and rules of admissibility for digital evidence; and usefully explores some practical issues for the presentation of digital evidence in the courtroom. The book will be a useful reference guide for specialists in the field as well as any litigator in a case where digital evidence is being tendered.”

—The Honourable Thomas A. Cromwell

Shop online at u.emond.ca/cls-pb05
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accountability and maintain a constant running bank of disclosure that can be easily accessed and reproduced, avoiding the problems of tracking changing counsel, lost documents, and confusion over who asked for or received what and when. On small scale cases, digital disclosure should continue to improve functioning, expediency, and transparency.

IV. Disclosure of Digital Data: Video Statements, In-Car Cameras, and Surveillance Footage

Even routine criminal cases increasingly rely on some form of digital evidence. For example, in impaired cases, police in-car camera audio and visual recordings of roadside stops and breath room videos are standard. Police booking hall video, videotaped complainant or witness statements, 911 recordings, and videotaped searches may frequently form a part of the Crown’s disclosure in everyday assault, drug, and property crime cases. In Toronto, and elsewhere across the country, police officers are experimenting with body-worn camera systems that can produce even more routine digital data in all manner of cases.

Depending on the type of data involved, different disclosure challenges may arise. “Videotaped” (or more accurately, digitally recorded) statements are extremely routine and parties have managed disclosure with relative success. Depending on the sensitivity of the statement, the audiovisual version and/or a transcript may be provided to counsel in an unrestricted fashion along with other disclosure, or may be subject to specific conditions for use and destruction or return. The Public Prosecution Service of Canada’s Deskbook provides that Crown counsel must provide defence with an “appropriate opportunity” to privately view and listen to witnesses’ statements and may provide copies of such statements, with or without conditions. Where conditions are deemed appropriate by the prosecutor and not agreed to by the defence, counsel may seek judicial orders to ensure proper protection of disclosure. This kind of concern and disclosure management is not new.

State-produced digital disclosure will have some consistency of format, at least within one jurisdiction. So, for example, the in-car cameras, where used, will be preserved and disclosed in a particular format that Crown offices will presumably be equipped to “read” and display for use in court. Though, of course, technical prob-

Key Insight: Search Warrants—Section 487

A threshold issue is whether individuals have a reasonable expectation of privacy for their information when it is stored with third parties. If so, then law enforcement conducts a search within the meaning of section 8 of the Charter by requesting the disclosure of such information, and the search must be reasonable as a constitutional matter or the evidence is in jeopardy of being excluded.

In the case of third-party searches and seizures, individuals do not own the physical space in which the information is stored. Therefore, territorial privacy is not engaged. But as Binnie J. pointed out in *Tessling*, section 8 of the Charter also protects informational privacy, which is defined as “the claim of individuals, groups, or institutions to determine for themselves when, how, and to what extent information about them is communicated to others.” Thus, there is no bar to section 8 protection simply because the custodian of the information is a third party. Rather, the courts must look to the totality of the circumstances to determine whether a reasonable expectation of privacy exists.

Adapted from *Digital Evidence, 2nd Edition, Pages 60*

Read a sample chapter at u.emond.ca/cls-pb05
Excerpt from Advocacy in the Information Age

By Lonny J. Rosen, CS
Excerpt from The Advocates’ Journal, Fall 2018

“For anyone doing trials or hearings in the digital age, Digital Evidence: A Practitioner’s Handbook is an indispensable aid.”

Whether a proceeding is in the criminal, regulatory or civil realm, more and more of the evidence is in digital format: texts, social media postings, electronic copies of videos and photos, medical records, Blackberry PINs—the list goes on. But even as the number of documents in a typical proceeding has increased exponentially, counsel’s obligations to marshal the evidence, to address issues of privilege, authenticity, admissibility and relevance, and to assess the probative value of the evidence [have] not been altered. Not only are counsel challenged to identify, gather and present a greater number of documents than ever before, but the evidence is also increasingly in unfamiliar formats. Where these formats are digital, Chan and Magotiaux have counsel’s back.

Excerpt from Digital Evidence: A Book Review

By Anne Marie McElroy, McElroy Law
Excerpt from McElroy Blog, December 2017

The book is, frankly, a much-needed resource for practitioners who appear in courtrooms on criminal matters. Given the slow pace of technological advances in the criminal courts, lawyers are often tasked with the role of educating judges with respect to novel issues in digital evidence. And when the issue may be novel to the lawyer themselves, it is critical to know what questions to ask.

Prosecuting and Defending Fraud Cases, 2nd Edition

By Grace Hession David, Ian Smith, and Jonathan Shime
Page Count: 248
Publication Date: October 2020
Print: $129 • Digital: $116

Here is a text—easy to read, well organized, and thoughtful—which provides much-needed guidance to Crown and defence counsel on the nuances surrounding fraud and related cases. It addresses the full range of issues associated with these difficult cases, from pre-charge strategies to sentencing alternatives. It is able to do so through the authorship of two highly skilled and experienced criminal litigators—one, a member of the defence bar; the other, a seasoned fraud prosecutor (a category of prosecutors itself in short supply).

What I particularly like about the text is its avoidance of unnecessary legalese. Advice is imparted in clear, unambiguous, and user-friendly language. It alerts the reader to pitfalls to be avoided, and points the way to creative advocacy at trial and on sentencing.”

—Mark Sandler, LLB
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Key Topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pre-Charge Considerations</td>
<td>Pre-Charge Considerations from a Crown’s Perspective; Pre-Charge Considerations from a Defence Perspective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Judicial Interim Release</td>
<td>Release from the Police Station on an Undertaking and Promise to Appear (Forms 10 and 11); Considerations in the Bail Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fraud and Disclosure of the Case</td>
<td>Disclosure Must Be Meaningful; The Use of an Expert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Material Elements of Fraud</td>
<td>What Are the Material Elements of Fraud?; The Doctrine of Reliance; Fraud Versus Negligent Misrepresentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Admissibility of Documents</td>
<td>Documents in Possession; Business Documents; Bank Accounts; The Admissibility of Other Documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sentencing</td>
<td>The Statutory Regime; Breach of Trust Frauds; Victim Impact Statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Restitution</td>
<td>Ability to Pay; The Issue of Rehabilitation; Enforcement of Restitution Orders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Forfeiture</td>
<td>Fine in Lieu of Forfeiture; The Enforcement Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Criminal Organization Fraud</td>
<td>Material Elements of Proof of a Criminal Organization Fraud; General Sentencing Principles for Criminal Organization Fraud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Regulatory Issues</td>
<td>Reporting to a Regulatory Authority; Compelled Statements; Can Regulatory Proceedings Result in True Penal Consequences?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Fraud-Related Offences</td>
<td>Breach of Trust; Secret Commissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cyber Fraud</td>
<td>Account Takeover Fraud; Bust Out Fraud; Phishing or Email Scams; Internet Cheque Kiting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
been a loss in connection with the fraud, thereby providing the Court with just a small estimate of the quantum involved.

A. Admissible Affidavits of Loss

In the Kalonji case, because it was a true case of cyber fraud, the victims were located across Canada and the United States. The Court heard evidence through the wiretap excerpts that the organizers of the fraud had discussed the details of the fraud with their “African partners” whom they had plotted to defraud in turn. The section of the Criminal Code which allows for affidavits of loss also enabled the case to be prosecuted without requiring victims, who lived in every province in the country, to appear in Court. It even allowed victims to give their evidence via Skype or video conference. This section reads as follows:

Section 657.1: Proof of Ownership and Value of Property

1. In any proceedings, an affidavit or a solemn declaration of a person who claims to be the lawful owner of, or the person lawfully entitled to possession of, property that was the subject-matter of the offence, or any other person who has specialized knowledge of the property or of that type of property, containing the statements referred to in subsection (2), shall be admissible in evidence and, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, is evidence of the statements contained in the affidavit or solemn declaration without proof of the signature of the person appearing to have signed the affidavit or solemn declaration.

2. For the purpose of subsection (1), a person shall state in an affidavit or a solemn declaration

(a) that the person is the lawful owner of, or is lawfully entitled to possession of, the property, or otherwise has specialized knowledge of the property or of property of the same type as that property;
(b) the value of the property;
(c) ... that the person has been deprived of the property by fraudulent means or otherwise without the lawful consent of the person,
(d) in the case of proceedings in respect of an offence under section 342, that the credit card had been revoked or cancelled, is a false document with the meaning of section 321 or that no credit card that meets the exact description of that credit card was issued to the person.
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<td>Donald Marshall Jr Royal Commission; Truth and Reconciliation Commission</td>
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**WINNER OF THE 2019 WALTER OWEN BOOK PRIZE**

Chapter 4 is in many ways the heart of the book because it examines the Supreme Court’s landmark decisions in *Gladue* and *Ipeelee*. It also provides historical context to these important decisions as well as a detailed but accessible analysis of them that will benefit defence lawyers, prosecutors, and judges alike. Readers will even learn why capitalizing “Aboriginal” in judgments is important.

Jonathan Rudin’s critically important work provides practical and valuable guidance that will help us better understand why Indigenous people appear so frequently in our courts for sentencing. Even more importantly, this book should inspire us to understand how, in appropriate cases, different sentences and different treatment can achieve better results for Indigenous people and for all of us who have the good fortune to live on this land.”

—Justice Harry S. LaForme, Ontario Court of Appeal
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“The text belongs on the bookshelf of every lawyer who seeks to provide culturally competent service, with the leading practitioners in LGBTQ2+ law sharing substantive and practical guidance.”

—Kathleen Wynne
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XVI. The Overarching Themes—Overrepresentation and Colonialism

After reviewing the commissions and inquiries summarized in this chapter, one can see three broad themes emerge. The first is that the overrepresentation of Indigenous people in prisons is a serious and long-standing concern. The second is that the cause of this overrepresentation is best understood as arising from the impacts of colonialism. And the third conclusion, echoed by almost all the reports, commissions, and inquiries, is that ultimately the answer to addressing the alienation of Indigenous people from the justice system is the development of distinct Indigenous justice systems.

As noted in Chapter 1, the creation of distinct Indigenous justice systems is outside the scope of this book, and so the focus here will be to look at Indigenous overrepresentation over time, and also to look more deeply into the causes of this overrepresentation.

Discussions regarding the overrepresentation of Indigenous people in the criminal justice system focus on numbers and the interpretation of data. Before launching into an examination of that data, it is important to set out what reliance should and should not be placed on the numbers. While some caution is due in extrapolating conclusions based on specific pieces of information, the significance of the overrepresentation data is what they show as a general trend. Getting lost in the minutiae can lead to ignoring these important issues.

To draw any conclusions regarding overrepresentation of any group in the criminal justice system, one requires two pieces of data: first, the percentage of the particular group of the overall population, and, second, the proportion of the group among those in the criminal justice system. Overrepresentation occurs when we see more members of a particular group enmeshed in the criminal justice system than one would expect based on their percentage of the population. The assumption behind this type of analysis is that, all things being equal, groups should be represented in the criminal justice system in roughly the same proportion they are represented in the general population.

For this reason, care must be taken in looking at figures that say, for example, that Indigenous people make up 23 percent of the prison population in a province. The

Key Insight: Asking the Question

For defence counsel, the first issue that they must determine is whether their client is an Indigenous person. That is not as easy as it might initially seem. One cannot determine if someone is an Indigenous person based on how they look or on their first or last name. The reality of the social dislocation that has taken place in Indigenous communities means that there are some Indigenous people who have grown up in Europe and speak accented English and some who carry the names of their adoptive parents or a non-Indigenous birth parent—this suggests an ancestry at some distance from Canada. Some Indigenous people may appear to be African Canadian, others Caucasian. It is important not to fall victim to one’s own stereotypes of what one thinks an Indigenous person should look like. It is also not enough to simply ask every single client whether they are an Indigenous person. First of all, what specifically is counsel asking? Section 35 of the Constitution Act, 1982 defines the Aboriginal peoples of Canada as Indian, Inuit, or Métis. Each term can have a specific, and sometimes contentious, meaning.

Adapted from Indigenous People and the Criminal Justice System, Second Edition, Page 60 and 61

Features:

- A chapter devoted to FASD, including diagnosis, symptoms, important cases, and insights into working with FASD-affected clients.
- A chapter on the evolution of the Gladue principles and their impact on sentencing, bail, corrections, and parole.
- Expanded discussion on R v Anthony-Cook and plea bargains.
- An in-depth analysis of the Supreme Court’s landmark decisions in Gladue, Williams, and Ipeelee.
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Excerpt from A Brief Review of What a Book Can Do

By Lisa Silver, Assistant Professor, University of Calgary, Faculty of Law
Excerpt from IdeaBlawg, October 2018

First a note about Jonathan Rudin who has dedicated his life’s journey to the recognition of our legal failures in our relationship with Indigenous people. His pathway through this book has been straight and true as he himself created legal institutions and legal principles, through his professional work at Aboriginal Legal Services, to ensure no Canadian forgets these failures. He has worked hard to turn these failures into positive developments. This book is indicative of his work and a testament to it.

Meaningful change can be found in this book. Woven between the pages are suggestive kernels of knowledge that each of us can take back to our law practices, courtrooms, and law schools. There is, for example, a telling passage on Aboriginal English (and French), taken from the groundbreaking work of Australian Socio-linguist Diana Eades, which can leave one with the kind of “aha” moment needed to create innovative approaches to intractable problems. There are many such veil-lifting moments in this book.

Excerpt from Indigenous People And The Criminal Justice System: A Book Review

By Anne-Marie McElroy, Criminal Defence Lawyer
Excerpt from McElroy Blog, September 2018

The book also addresses different areas of criminal law that affect Indigenous clients, such as bail, firearms prohibitions, dangerous offender applications, and review board hearings. These areas provide guidance as to how counsel might properly address the background factors in order to more persuasively advocate for their clients. The book further contemplates how the principles in Gladue might be expanded to other areas, including corrections and trials themselves.
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1. **Threshold Matters**
   - Key Topics: Jurisdiction to Grant a Remedy; Procedural Requirements

2. **Exclusion of Evidence**
   - Key Topics: Section 24(2); Evidence Obtained in a Manner That Violated the Claimant’s Charter Rights; Section 24(1): Evidence Not Obtained Through a Charter Breach

3. **Stays of Proceedings**
   - Key Topics: Abuse of Process; Entrapment; Unreasonable Delay

4. **Sentence Reductions**
   - Key Topics: When Is Sentence Reduction an Appropriate and Just Remedy; Sentencing Reductions for Specific Types of Charter Breach

5. **Costs Against the Crown**
   - Key Topics: Costs in Specific Situations; Situations Where Costs Cannot Be Ordered; Appeals

6. **Habeas Corpus**
   - Key Topics: The Two-Stage Review; Habeas Corpus Not Available if a Complete Statutory Alternative Exists

7. **Declarations of Invalidity—Section 52(1)**
   - Key Topics: Standing to Seek a Declaration of Invalidity

8. **Other Remedies**
   - Key Topics: Remedies Against Seizure of Evidence; Disclosure; Damages
12. Breach of Confidential Informer Privilege
Confidential informer privilege is an "ancient and hallowed protection which plays a vital role in law enforcement." Any breach of the privilege could potentially put the informer’s life at risk. Accordingly, even an inadvertent breach will be treated extremely seriously by the court. In R v X/Y, the accused had disclosed his prior activities as an informer to the police in a post-arrest interview. Although the police had turned off the primary recording system, a secondary system recorded the interview and a transcript was disclosed to the defence. Although the Crown moved swiftly to remedy the breach when alerted by defence counsel, the accused was attacked in custody by a prisoner who had learned from disclosure in another case that he was a "rat." The trial judge declined to stay the proceedings, but a stay was imposed on appeal. The court observed that "[i]t is well to characterize the police and prosecutorial conduct in breach of the informer privilege as anything less than gross negligence is to ignore reality." Neither the police nor the prosecution took any steps to verify the accused’s claim to-informer status or protect the privilege until after the breach was brought to their attention. The court was satisfied that this was one of the "clearest of cases" meriting a stay.

By contrast, in R v Bains, the accused’s complaint was that police affidavits used to obtain a wiretap against him failed to properly protect the identity of confidential informers relied upon by the police. The appeal court held that while the accused had standing to allege an abuse of process in these circumstances, there was no prospect of the accused obtaining a remedy and the trial judge made no error in refusing to embark upon a voir dire. While the protection of informer privilege is a crucial systemic value independent of any particular accused, there was simply no impact on the accused’s own interests capable of justifying a remedy.

13. Breach of Solicitor–Client Privilege
The Supreme Court has held that the privilege enjoyed by a client of a professional legal adviser against disclosure of communications made in furtherance of seeking or receiving legal advice is protected by the Charter. Solicitor-client privilege is

Key Insight: Stages in a Habeas Corpus Application
There are two adjudicative stages in a habeas corpus application, each having different procedural requirements and burdens of proof. The process begins with a claimant filing a written application in the provincial superior court, along with supporting documentary evidence. The order sought should be described as “a writ of habeas corpus with certiorari in aid.”

At the first stage of the review a judge considers the application materials, possibly in the absence of the claimant, and decides whether to issue the writ. If the legal criteria are met for the issuance of the writ, then it must be granted.

If granted, the writ requires that the detained person be brought before the court. Once the claimant is in court, the second stage of review occurs. The onus is then on the authorities detaining the person to justify the legality of the detention.

Adapted from Charter Remedies in Criminal Cases: A Practitioner’s Handbook, Page 148

Features:

- Checklists of the threshold technical requirements for remedies under sections 24(1), 24(2), and 52(1) of the Charter.
- Practical guidance on asking the court to strike down a law and obtaining the benefit of such a declaration issued by a different court.
- Explanation of lesser known Charter remedies including habeas corpus, costs against the Crown, impounding of seized property, and the residual discretion to quash a search warrant even though it passes a Garofoli review.
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Excerpt from *Book Review: Impaired Driving and Other Criminal Code Driving Offences*

By Jonathan Rosenthal
Excerpt from *For the Defence, Vol. 39, No. 4, 2019*

As an authoritative, complete guide to criminal driving offences, it is essential reading for defence lawyers and prosecutors alike in this new era. Similar to most of Emond’s Criminal Law Series, anchored by criminal law heavyweights Justice Enzo Rondinelli and Brian Greenspan, it offers clear and concise guidance on the practical and procedural aspects of motor vehicle—or should I say “conveyance”—litigation.

Together the authors have managed to effectively provide a truly balanced and fair review of all the pressing issues. Peter Keen is an experienced assistant Crown attorney and Karen Jokinen is an equally experienced defence lawyer. They include both Crown and defence perspectives, supported by complete and competing case law. It is rare to read such a balanced, detailed, and practical approach to criminal law.

Excerpt from *Book Review: Impaired Driving and Other Criminal Code Driving Offences*

By Kyla Lee
Excerpt from *Vancouver DUI Lawyer Blog, January 2019*

As a serious practitioner of impaired driving law, I can say that this book is a fantastic addition to any library. It is great for the beginner who needs to learn quickly about impaired driving, but there are gems in there that will benefit even the most experienced impaired driving counsel. The structure of the book is smart; each chapter is a specific issue and it stands alone, so if you have a particularly complex case on refusal to provide a sample, or a serious question about what the meaning of ‘conveyance’ is, you can flip to that particular chapter and read through the issue in only twenty or so pages. This saves having to search the whole text for one nugget buried somewhere in the book.
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1. Legislative Changes and Sequence of a Drinking and Driving Case
2. 80 and Over: Section 320.114(1)(b)
3. Drug Per Se Limits
4. Impaired Ability to Operate
5. Fail or Refuse Screening Demand: Section 320.15(1)
6. Operating While Prohibited
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8. Criminal Negligence
9. Flight from Police
10. Fail to Stop at Scene of Accident
11. Aggravated Driving Offences—Causing Bodily Harm or Death
12. Reviewing the Brief and Disclosure
13. Conveyances
14. The Stop
15. Operation vs Care or Control
16. Roadside Screening Demands
17. The Arrest and Evidentiary Demands
18. Proving Blood Alcohol Concentration Through Breath Samples
19. Drug Impaired Driving
20. Blood Demands and Hospital Interactions
21. Custody, Detention, and the Charter
22. Common Law Defences
23. Sentencing
24. Coming Into Force and Retrospective Effect
25. Conclusion: Future of Driving Offences in Canada
III. Criminal Negligence: A Challenging Area

In 1990, Justice Sopinka described criminal negligence law as “one of the most difficult and uncertain in the whole of the criminal field,” and this concern is still echoed in appeal decisions today. Academic commentators have struggled with the issue and pointed out that it is difficult to determine whether the “marked and substantial departure” standard should be considered an aspect of actus reus or mens rea. Indeed, in R v Beatty itself, while the majority felt that the “marked and substantial departure” standard was an element of mens rea, the minority felt that this was an issue of actus reus.

IV. Descriptions of Actus Reus and Mens Rea

There are currently different approaches to analyzing the actus reus and mens rea. Under the Manitoba approach, these are distinct inquiries. In the Ontario and British Columbia approach, the analysis of actus reus and mens rea is linked.

A. Manitoba Approach: Tayfel and Beatty—Actus Reus and Mens Rea Are Distinct

According to the Manitoba Court of Appeal in R v Tayfel, the actus reus and mens rea of criminal negligence causing death are distinct. The court based this decision on the language used by the Supreme Court of Canada in Beatty. However, it is important to note that Beatty was a dangerous driving case, so the actus reus and mens rea discussion by the court is in the context of that dangerous driving scenario.

The court in Beatty ruled that the actus reus of dangerous driving is to be determined by the words of the statute. The court’s description of the actus reus and mens rea of dangerous driving was as follows:

- The actus reus
  - The trier of fact must be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that, viewed objectively, the accused was, in the words of the section, driving in a manner that was “dangerous to the public, having regard to all the circumstances, including the nature, condition and use of the place at which the motor vehicle is being operated and the amount of traffic that at the time is or might reasonably be expected to be at that place.”

Features:

- Outlines and explanations of new legislations.
- Detailed differences between impaired driving, drinking and driving, and drugged driving.
- Detailed information about fines and sentencing, including corollary consequences, such as consequences found in provincial highway traffic legislation.
- Exploration of the strengths and weaknesses of arguments often presented by Crown and defence in these types of prosecutions, including alternatives to these arguments (when possible).

Key Insight: E-bikes

The advent of e-bikes has spawned litigation over whether they should be considered motor vehicles. The reason for the litigation is the battery on some e-bikes can be charged by pedalling the bike. E-bikes can also be propelled using only the pedals and not the battery. The Supreme Court of Canada’s decision in Saunders v The Queen is determinative. An inoperative motor vehicle or a vehicle that has run out of gas is still a motor vehicle, even when it cannot be self-propelled. What matters is the nature of the vehicle, not how it is being used at the time. An e-bike is still a motor vehicle under the Criminal Code regardless of whether it is being propelled by the pedals, regardless of whether it has run out of battery power, and regardless of whether the battery can be charged using muscle power.

Adapted from Impaired Driving and Other Criminal Code Driving Offences: A Practitioner’s Handbook, Page 198
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Prosecuting and Defending Offences Against Children: A Practitioner’s Handbook

This] is the first Canadian text to provide an overview of the offence provisions in the Criminal Code that are used to prosecute such allegations. The Handbook explains in helpful detail the key elements of each of the offences and the more commonly proffered defences. In addition, the Handbook explains the procedural steps and elements from allegation to resolution. By describing so clearly what every Crown and defence counsel need to know when dealing with child offences, this work provides a much-needed resource.

The experienced authors—Lisa Joyal, Jennifer Gibson, and Lisa Henderson (Crown counsel); Emily Lam (defence counsel); and David Berg—collectively have experience in every aspect of child abuse and the criminal law. They explain in readily comprehensible language the sometimes complex or obtuse concepts, be they evidentiary, substantive, procedural, or practical in the application of the criminal law to offences against children.

—The Honourable Susan E. Lang

Shop online at u.emond.ca/cls-pb10
In *R v Alexander,* an accused mother argued at her manslaughter trial that the Crown had not proven that she had caused the death of her 19-month-old son. The evidence showed that the child had suffered severe scalding burns to 40 percent of his body, as a result of his immersion into hot water. The mother did not call 9-1-1 until many hours after the incident. By that point, the boy was already dead. The mother argued at her trial that the boy’s “sick cell trait” was a factor that had contributed to the boy’s death, and that, because of this trait, the boy would have died, even if he had been taken to the hospital immediately. The trial judge rejected the argument and convicted the mother of manslaughter. The judge concluded, “[E]ven if the sick cell trait could be seen as having contributed to Miguel’s demise by impairing the ability of his body to cope with the hypovolemic shock, that factor would not operate to relieve Ms. Alexander of criminal liability.” The judge relied upon *R v Creighton,* *R v Netto,* and *R v Smithers* (cases that discuss the “thin skull” rule), and stated that the child’s sick cell trait condition did not change “legal causation,” even assuming the condition contributed in some way “to the factual cause of death.”

C. Mens Rea

The offence of assault cause bodily harm is a crime of general intent. Accordingly, the Crown must prove that the accused “subjectively intended” to apply force to the child, as the constituent mental element for the offence.

In a number of provinces in the country, the Crown must also prove “objective foresight of the risk of bodily harm.” For instance, in Ontario, Alberta, and Newfoundland and Labrador, the mental fault requirement for the offence of assault cause bodily harm is a “subjective intent to apply force” and “objective foresight of the risk of bodily harm.” However, in Saskatchewan, Manitoba, and British Columbia, the mental fault requirement for the offence cause bodily harm is identical to that of common assault — the simple intent to apply force. This divergence amongst the provincial appellate courts on the mental fault requirement for the offence of assault cause bodily harm is a point of great importance, given the centrality of the defence of provocation. The difference in the requirements for the offence of assault cause bodily harm and the defence of provocation is a significant factor in determining the admissibility of evidence of provocation.

Key Insight: Prepare the Child for the Use of Social Media in the Courtroom

A child witness needs to be made aware that defence counsel will likely have examined the child’s social media presence. In addition, if the accused is someone who knows the child well, the accused will often have access to a child’s social media account information and posts (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, and Snapchat) and may even potentially have access to a child’s text or email message history over a lengthy period of time. The child and caregiver should be advised at the earliest opportunity that the defence will likely be monitoring any publicly available social media. The Crown must be careful not to give legal advice about the situation, but there is nothing improper about alerting the witness and caregiver to the potential situation that their presence on social media will be monitored.

Adapted from *Prosecuting and Defending Offences Against Children: A Practitioner’s Handbook,* Page 15
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Excerpt from Prosecuting and Defending Offences Against Children: Book Review

By Cheryl Milne

The authors of Prosecuting and Defending Offences Against Children: A Practitioner’s Handbook are described as a team composed of Crown, defence, and judiciary, bringing comprehensive expertise to the topic. While aimed at anyone seeking a comprehensive understanding of how criminal law applies to cases involving the abuse of children, they in fact accomplish a more modest and achievable task. It provides an overview of the elements of the various offences and possible defences with reference to both the leading cases in respect of each, as well as cases that provide the nuance for both effective prosecution and defence in this challenging area of law. To this foundation, the book also adds the expertise of the authors in preparation for trial, including the preparation and questioning of child witnesses.

The authors interestingly veer off their script in some notable areas that suggest room for creative arguments and approaches that might better protect children. In Chapter 6 on the physical abuse of children, they identify less utilized defences, such as implied consent and necessity. These potential defences help to counter arguments that s. 43 of the Criminal Code, which justifies the use of reasonable physical punishment by parents, is necessary to protect parents who place a child in a car seat or remove a child from traffic or other dangerous situations. The chapter also provides a comprehensive overview of the interpretation given to the section by the Supreme Court of Canada, demonstrating its rather limited use, despite some inconsistencies in subsequent case law and the criticism against the decision.

This book is an excellent, if not comprehensive, starting point for lawyers engaged in the various facets of this area or practice. It encapsulates the basic knowledge necessary for competent practice. It also begins with an acknowledgment that children have rights in this system and applies that in the practical tips for preparing child witnesses.

What I particularly like about this text is its inclusion of detailed and practical descriptions of some of the more daunting aspects of drug practice: Garofoli applications and civil forfeiture. The text will assist practitioners to transition from litigating low-level drug offences to more complex and serious cases that may involve the challenge of search warrants. There is a very helpful explanation of the rarely used (except in Ontario) step six of Garofoli. It is a procedure that many experienced practitioners have thankfully never had to wrestle with, and this text is one of the first places I would turn to should the need ever arise.

[It] is a worthy entry to Emond’s Criminal Law Series for its discussion of the basics of drug litigation, but it also highlights areas of recent expansion and development. Whether you are a prosecutor or a defence lawyer, it is time to make room in the library for one more edition.”

—Eric V. Gottardi, Partner, Peck & Company Barristers Vancouver

Prosecuting and Defending Drug Cases: A Practitioner’s Handbook

By Nathan Gorham, Jeremy Streeter, and Breana Vandebeek
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS</th>
<th><strong>Key Topics:</strong> The PPSC; The CDSA; Assessing the Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BAIL HEARINGS IN DRUG CASES</td>
<td><strong>Key Topics:</strong> Principles that Govern Bail Hearings; Factors that Influence Bail Decisions in Drug Cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DISCLOSURE ISSUES</td>
<td><strong>Key Topics:</strong> Disclosure of Confidential Source Information; Contents of the Investigative File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CHARTER ISSUES IN DRUG CASES</td>
<td><strong>Key Topics:</strong> Arbitrary Detention; Police Searches; Section 8 Challenges to Search Warrants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ISSUES OF PROOF</td>
<td><strong>Key Topics:</strong> Proving the Drug; Expert Evidence; Character and Propensity Evidence; Hearsay; Vetrovec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>POSSESSION OFFENCES</td>
<td><strong>Key Topics:</strong> Possession Offences under the CDSA; Cannabis Act; The Overdose Exception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>TRAFFICKING AND POSSESSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF TRAFFICKING</td>
<td><strong>Key Topics:</strong> Section 5(1); Actus Reus; Mens Rea; Common Defences; Possession for the Purpose of Trafficking (section 5(2))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>IMPORTING OFFENCES</td>
<td><strong>Key Topics:</strong> Actus Reus; Mens Rea; Defences (Duress and Necessity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PRODUCTION OFFENCES</td>
<td><strong>Key Topics:</strong> Under the CDSA and the Cannabis Act; Proving Production Offences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CANNABIS ACT OFFENCES</td>
<td><strong>Key Topics:</strong> Possession; Distribution and Sale; Importing and Exporting; Production and Cultivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CONSPIRACIES AND CRIMINAL ORGANIZATIONS IN DRUG PROSECUTIONS</td>
<td><strong>Key Topics:</strong> Essential Elements of a Conspiracy Offence; Issues of Proof; Specific Criminal Organization Offences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>SENTENCING RANGES</td>
<td><strong>Key Topics:</strong> Sentencing Ranges for Schedule 1 Offences; Cannabis; Aggravating and Mitigating Circumstances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>POSSESSION OF THE PROCEEDS OF CRIME AND FORFEITURE</td>
<td><strong>Key Topics:</strong> Issues of Proof in Proceeds of Crime Offences; Forfeiture of the Proceeds; Offence-Related Property</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Insight: Circumstantial Evidence

Circumstantial evidence is important in almost all drug trials. In some areas, such as importing, much of the Crown's case may be proven by direct evidence—that is, that the accused was in possession of the drug and crossed the border. In other cases, such as possession cases where drugs are found in a house where multiple people live or have access to the drugs, the trier of fact must infer based on the circumstances whether an offence has been committed. Where the Crown's case is based on circumstantial evidence, the rule in Hodge's Case applies and requires that the accused be convicted only where guilt is the only reasonable inference in the circumstances. The Supreme Court in R v Villaroman recently discussed the burden of proof in the context of a circumstantial case and stated that the trier of fact must negative "other plausible theories." This means that where, on the evidence, there is a reasonable basis to conclude that the accused was not involved in the offence, an acquittal will follow. That said, the trier of fact must not consider speculative or unreasonable possibilities.

In assessing whether the Crown has met its burden, the trier of fact must consider the entirety of the evidence as a whole, and not assess the evidence in a piecemeal fashion. It is an error of law to subject individual pieces of evidence to the standard of proof beyond a reasonable doubt, and to fail to consider the cumulative effect of the evidence as a whole.

Adapted from Prosecuting and Defending Drug Cases: A Practitioner’s Handbook, Page 124

Features:

- Insights from contributors Janani Shanmuganathan, Aaron Shachter, and Ehsan Ghebrai.
- An introduction to the Cannabis Act.
- A guide to navigating Garofoli applications, including the step 6 procedure.
The text skillfully addresses the forms of sentence available; specialized areas, such as dangerous and long-term offender applications and sentencing in youth prosecutions; application of Charter principles; and it reminds us, with good reason, of the ever-important remedial approach to the sentencing of Indigenous offenders as mandated by the Supreme Court through a meaningful application of Gladue principles. The book offers important information on the collateral consequences of a conviction; post-sentence issues that arise in the corrections system (custodial and community based); and appeals from sentence. This information, often not thought about until after the fact, can play a valuable role in plea negotiations, as well as ensure that a sentencing judge fully appreciates the real-life implications of a particular sentence before its imposition.”

—Honourable M. Joyce DeWitt-Van Oosten of the Court of Appeal for British Columbia
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3. **The Sentencing Hearing**
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7. **Charter Considerations**
   - **Key Topics:** Sections 7, 11(b), 11(h)(i), 12, 1, Remedies
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II. Statutorily Aggravating Factors

In addition to the aggravating factors set out in section 718.2, Parliament has also stated that the factors listed in the chart below in relation to the specified offences will be considered aggravating and thus will move the sentence toward the higher end of the range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provision</th>
<th>Offences Impacted</th>
<th>Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 380.1     | Fraud             | (a) Significance, magnitude, complexity, duration or planning of the fraud.
|           | 382 Fraudulent manipulation of stock exchange transactions | (b) The offence adversely affected or had the potential to adversely affect the stability of the Canadian economy, financial system, financial market or investor confidence in the market. |
|           | 382.1 Prohibited insider trading | (c) A large number of victims. |
|           | 400 Making, circulating, or publishing a false prospectus | (c.1) The offence had a significant impact on the victims given their personal circumstances, including their age, health, and financial situations. |
|           |                   | (d) The offender took advantage of the high regard in which he or she was held in the community. |
|           |                   | (e) Failure to comply with licensing or professional standards. |
|           |                   | (f) The destruction of records related to the offence. |
|           |                   | (g) The value of the fraud exceeded one million dollars. |
|           |                   | (h) The offender’s skills, status, and reputation in the community are not mitigating if they were present or used in the commission of the offence. |

Key Insight: Least Restrictive Sentence Capable of Rehabilitation for Young Persons

Unlike adult offenders, who may receive sentences that are greater than what is required to hold them personally accountable but are rather designed through punitive measures to deter other potentially like-minded individuals from committing an offence, young persons are always to be subject to the least restrictive sentence appropriate in the circumstances. When a custodial sentence is imposed, section 38 demands that the level of custody (as explored later in Section V.D.3) is also to be the least restrictive one capable of meeting the YCJA’s sentencing goals. The sentencing judge must provide reasons for why a certain level of custody was imposed.

The least restrictive sentence, however, must still be the one that is “most likely to rehabilitate the young person” and also “promote a sense of responsibility in the young person, and an acknowledgement of the harm done to victims and the community.” In some cases, this will require a custodial disposition because no other sentence would be capable of driving home to the young person the seriousness of his conduct, which is essential to both of these sentencing goals.

Adapted from Sentencing: Principles and Practice, Pages 340 and 341

Features:
- Recent Bill C-75 amendments.
- Tips and samples of guilty plea directions and support letter instructions.
- Charts simplifying statutory issues into manageable information.
- Sentencing issues specific to Indigenous offenders.
- Discussions on the latest trends and issues affecting sentencing.
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Excerpt from *Sentencing: Principles and Practice Review*

By Craig Bottomley

I kept finding gems and diverting down sideroads. I ended up spending four hours reading section after section. I learned a lot. Ms Robitaille and Ms. Winocur have done the impossible and turned the forlorn topic of sentencing into a page-turner. This book is academically sound and, perhaps more importantly, full of solid practical advice for the criminal law practitioner.

For instance, the authors approach the minefield of dangerous offenders on serious academic footing and analyze not only the history of the Supreme Court’s decision in *R v Lyons*, but also look to where litigation in this area is headed under *R v Boutilier*. In the same chapter, however, there is practical advice on how to assert the position that the accused should have a role in selecting the psychiatrist to do the assessment and point to case law supporting the idea that a failure to have the accused involved in the selection of the expert can impinge a meaningful right to silence.

If there is a theme to this book, it is the combination of focused legal analysis combined with practical advice. The book is intuitively organized and easy to use. Each time I turn to it, I find the answer to the question plaguing me.

Sentencing also brings in experts who have contributed to chapters on dangerous offender hearings, Indigenous sentencing, youth sentencing, and appeals. The benefit of their collective wisdom accrues to the reader’s benefit. This is, perhaps, most evident in the chapter on the collateral consequences of sentencing where the authors address issues of immigration, civil, and family law consequences. As criminal lawyers, we are specialists in our own field, but few of us can keep straight the exact immigration consequences of a guilty plea to serious criminality and the impact of pre-sentence custody on a client who is not yet a citizen. This chapter addresses these issues and does so in a straightforward and easily accessible fashion.

There is no stage of the criminal justice process with a more direct impact on your client than sentencing. Those armed with this book will be in the best position to minimize the impact of sentence and make a positive impression on the court.
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*Key Topics:* Reporting Criminal Charges; Duty to Cooperate; Stay of Disciplinary Proceedings
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Sample and Features

FEATURES:

- End-of-chapter “Takeaways” highlight key considerations for counsel.
- Up-to-date discussion on regulatory audits and reports that can affect registrants.
- Highlighting of applicable codes, such as *Health Professions Procedural Code*, *Professional Code*, *Model Code of Professional Conduct*.
- Relevant acts, including the *Ontario Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991; Protecting Patients Act, 2017; Early Childhood Educators Act, 2007; Ontario College of Teachers Act, 1996; Registered Human Resources Professionals Act, 2013*; and the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act.*

**Key Insight:** Mandatory Reporting

In Ontario, there is no reporting obligation regarding incapacity on individual registrants. There is, however, an obligation on “facilities” (defined as facilities where one or more regulated health professionals practise) to report if there are reasonable and probable grounds to believe that a member who practises at the facility is incapacitated (s 85.2 of the Code). Employers of regulated health professionals in Ontario (like those in British Columbia) are required to report any termination of employment or revocation, suspension, or restrictions on privileges based on incapacity (s 85.5(1)). The reporting obligations also apply where the member resigns before such sanctions are imposed (s 85.5(2)). It is an offence punishable by a fine of up to $25,000 for an individual and up to $50,000 for a corporation to fail to abide by the mandatory reporting obligations in the Code (s 93(1)).

Adapted from *Prosecuting and Defending Professional Regulation Cases,* Pages 162 and 163
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“...The editors and authors have made a major contribution to our understanding of search and seizure law, and we owe them a debt of gratitude for this timely, essential, and excellent text. Simply put, I am happy that this collection exists, and I only wish it had been available when I practised criminal law and during my 12 years as a trial judge.”

—The Honourable Sheilah L. Martin of the Supreme Court of Canada

Pre-order at u.emond.ca/cls-pb14
1. Conditions Requiring Confirmation of Possession or Control of the Computer Data

Although an officer who makes a preservation demand or seeks a preservation order must reasonably suspect that the relevant computer data is in the possession or control of the person to whom the demand or order is directed, it will sometimes be necessary to confirm this suspicion. In particular, some record-holders have policies prohibiting them from confirming the existence of computer data. In such cases, an officer may wish to compel the record-holder to provide confirmation, so the officer can make an informed decision whether to pursue production of the data from the record-holder, or perhaps seek preservation or production of the data from another source.

Moreover, in cases where the eventual production of the data will require a general production order under Criminal Code section 487.014, confirmation of possession or control may assist the officer to decide whether there are grounds to seek the production order. As indicated earlier, preservation demands and preservation orders issue on the “reasonable suspicion” standard, while general production orders issue on the higher “reasonable belief” standard. Confirmation of possession or control may assist the officer to meet this higher standard necessary to obtain a general production order.

PRACTICE POINTS

If a record-holder is unwilling to voluntarily confirm whether relevant computer data is in its possession or control, a police officer who makes a preservation demand or obtains a preservation order should consider a condition of the demand or order compelling the record-holder to confirm possession or control.

2. Conditions Prohibiting Disclosure of the Preservation Demand or Preservation Order

One of the most common conditions of preservation demands and orders sought by police is a condition prohibiting disclosure of the existence or contents of the demand or order, except to those persons acting in the investigation in accordance with the conditions of the demand or order. This condition generally prohibits disclosure to the record-holder.

Features:

- Useful “practice tips” sections in each chapter with practical advice from Crown and defence.
- Chapter devoted to digital search and seizure powers, including discussions on internet search history.
- Chapter focused on the warrant application process, including forms to be used, telewarrants, and drafting the Information to Obtain (ITO), as well as dealing with information from a confidential informer (CI).
- Chapter dedicated to reviewing the Garofoli process.

Key Insight: Searching the Grounds Surrounding a Dwelling-House or Other Building

If the police intend to search a dwelling-house or other premises and the grounds surrounding it, they should clearly identify this intention in the ITO, and clearly describe both the building and grounds to be searched in the warrant itself. Explicitly referring to this intention and explicitly obtaining authority to conduct this search will avoid litigation risk down the road. If the police do not adopt this best practice, questions may arise about their authority to search the grounds surrounding the building. In particular, search warrants often describe the place to be searched as the “dwelling-house” or “premises” at a specified municipal address. These descriptions create ambiguity about the authority of the police to search the grounds surrounding the building. Whether the police have this authority turns on the connection between the grounds searched and the building.

Read a sample chapter at u.emond.ca/cls-pb14

Adapted from Search and Seizure, Page 121
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Qualifying and Challenging Expert Evidence
I. Introduction
Expert evidence regarding race and culture at sentencing was discussed earlier in this book, but such evidence is also relevant to trial issues. Expert evidence on the cultural mores of specific communities has been called on trial issues as varied as motive, provocation, and planning and deliberation. When considering the relevance of race and culture to a criminal trial, counsel must decide whether expert evidence is necessary or whether judicial notice can be relied upon.

II. Evidence of Cultural Mores
Expert evidence about cultural mores within communities has been described by the Ontario Court of Appeal as a “well-recognized field of study within the academic and professional disciplines.” In R v Shafia, the Crown sought to introduce expert evidence relevant to motive about “the relationship between culture, religion, patriarchy and violence against women in the Middle East and around the world, specifically as these issues relate to the phenomenon known as honour killings.” The expert testimony was admitted into evidence and the accused were found guilty of four counts each of first-degree murder. On appeal, the expert evidence was challenged on the basis that it was anecdotal, could have resulted in dangerous cultural stereotyping and inadmissible propensity reasoning, and that its probative value was overstated.

The Ontario Court of Appeal found that the expert evidence about cultural mores had been properly admitted. The evidence was relevant to the motive for the murders and assisted the jury in understanding and evaluating other material evidence at trial. It was necessary to assist the jury, as the specialized knowledge of the expert extended well beyond the everyday experience of the average juror. The opinion was not evidence of disposition that was improperly used as probative of guilt and was not by nature anecdotal. The Court also rejected an argument that the expert was biased, holding that while the expert was an advocate for women’s rights, this did not render her incapable of giving expert evidence.

The Court in Shafia noted that expert opinion evidence about cultural mores may be relevant to a variety of trial issues. In R v Boswell, cultural evidence of a code of

Key Insight: Sentencing of Adult Offenders
Hearsay evidence is generally admissible on a sentencing hearing (s 723(5) of the Code), but a court may, where “it is in the interests of justice,” compel a person to testify rather than rely on hearsay evidence. A court may also accept, as proved, any information disclosed at the trial or at the sentencing proceeding and any facts agreed upon by the prosecutor and the accused (s 724(1)). However, any disputed aggravating factor must be proven by the Crown beyond a reasonable doubt. A sentencing court is also required to consider “any relevant information” placed before it (s 726.1). This statutory context, however, does not obviate the need for expert opinion evidence to be properly admissible (or consented to) before it is considered and acted upon by a sentencing judge. Nor does it eliminate, where appropriate, testing of expert evidence before a sentencing judge.

Read a sample chapter at u.emond.ca/cls-pb15

Features:

- Practical checklists, flow charts, case tables, and other quick-reference materials.
- Sample CFS Report and explanation.
- Cross-national author team representing both Crown and defence perspectives.
- Focus on process, strategy, and tactics pertaining to expert witness testimony.
- Detailed analysis of specific types of expert evidence within the fields of medicine, law enforcement, science, technology, and sociology.

Adapted from Qualifying and Challenging Expert Evidence, Page 92
In many common law jurisdictions, including Canada, the judiciary has traditionally been the chief expositor and developer of the criminal law. The law of criminal evidence has always been different. Textbook writers have taken a leadership role and have heavily influenced the manner in which the law of evidence is described and developed. This text will take on that leadership role. Under the dynamic and enthusiastic leadership of its general editors, I have no doubt the cadre of authors, all in the prime of very active and successful careers at the criminal bar, have produced what will quickly become a “go-to” text. I am equally certain it will only get better in the future.  

—Justice David H. Doherty
Key Insight: Declarations Against Pecuniary or Proprietary Interest

An out-of-court statement that relates to matters against the pecuniary or proprietary interest of the declarant may be admissible. There are several criteria that must be demonstrated on a balance of probabilities for the statement to be received as evidence of the truth of its contents. Importantly, this common law exception has a strict necessity requirement: the declarant must be unable to testify by reason of death or illness, or because they are located outside the jurisdiction and are therefore not compellable. The declarant must also have personal knowledge of the factual assertions they made. Most significantly, they must appreciate that the facts are against their interest at the time of the statement and to their immediate prejudice. A future or contingent interest will not suffice.

Adapted from Modern Criminal Evidence, Page 199
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